



Survey Short Answer Sheet - use with booklet to add extra household member's answers

First - please let us know if you live or work in Warmington

Check one only

- I live and work in Warmington
- I live in Warmington but work elsewhere
- I live in Warmington
- I work in Warmington
- Other.....

Next - if you have an email address and you are happy for us to use this to contact you about WNP matters ONLY can you please write this below:

Or - if you don't have an email or don't want us to use it then please write your street name below:

H1 Should the WNP promote village expansion by encouraging more housing development sites?

Mark only one.

- Yes it should support all development whatever the size
- Yes but only for small-scale developments
- Yes but only for affordable and social housing (known as Rural Exception Sites)
- No the WNP should not support village expansion

H2 What maximum size of site should the WNP support ?

Mark only one.

- no more than 15 houses on any one site
- no more than 25 houses on any one site
- no more than 50 houses on any one site
- no limit on site size

H3 The WNP could include a set of criteria by which to judge future development sites - do you think these would be helpful?

- Yes
- No

Any comments on rules/criteria?

H4 New development normally has to be within the 'settlement boundary' (shown in red on map). This boundary could be removed and replaced by a set of rules - what would you prefer?

Mark only one

- The WNP should keep the existing boundary with no development beyond it
- The WNP should remove the boundary and replace it with a set of rules for all development
- The WNP should keep the existing boundary but use a set of rules for anything beyond it.



H5 There have been five sites (edged blue on map) put forward to East Northants Council for development outside the settlement boundary. Should any of these be included in the WNP as acceptable?

Check all that apply.

- Site 1 is OK
- Site 2 is OK
- Site 3 is OK
- Site 4 is OK
- Site 5 is OK
- None of these sites is acceptable

H6 - The Neighbourhood Planning process allows communities to seek further sites for development - should Warmington do this?

- Yes
- No

H7 Developers cannot be made to build affordable/social housing on sites of fewer than 10 houses, but exceptionally a site outside the settlement boundary can be used for this purpose; these are called Rural Exception Sites. Should the WNP encourage this by including a policy within the WNP?

- Yes without restriction
- Yes but only if they comply with a set policy within the WNP
- No

H8 On-street parking has been raised as an issue within the village. Should the WNP set policies for new developments setting out what parking spaces should be provided ?

- Yes
- No

H9 What if.....if Warmington was required to accept a large housing development of 50 - 100 houses due to a change in national or other policy where would you put them (use map or describe)? _____

BE1 Should the WNP include a local Design Policy based on an updated Village Design Statement 'Guidelines for Development'?

- Yes
- No - we should let ENC make decisions about the design of developments

BE2 Should the WNP include a policy to govern the use of back garden or small plot developments?

- Yes
- No

BE3 The WNP could encourage innovative and eco-friendly design. Would this be something that you would favour ?

- Yes
- No

Any other comments

BE4 The Village Design Statement already contains much of the material we need to write a Built Environment section and policies in the WNP, but do you have any other thoughts about what should be included?



NE1 Should WNP designate important local open spaces for protection from development?

- Yes
- No, we should let ENC make decisions about open spaces in Warmington

NE2 Are there any other green spaces which you think are important and should be given some form of protection - if so why?

NE3 Wide verges are a characteristic feature alongside many of Warmington's village and parish roads - should these be recognised within the WNP as meriting protection?

- Yes
- No

NE4 There are a number of local views that are cherished; some are shown on village maps and posters and on the mural under the A605. Should these be protected from development within the WNP and are there any particular views that you would protect?

Check all that apply.

- From the mill across the water meadows to Fotheringhay church
- From the road above the village by Rectory Farm down across the village
- From the top of Broadgate Hill down across the village

Please list any others

NE5 - Should the WNP set out a policy that requires developers to mitigate any damage to valued open areas and views by requiring developer contributions (money - known as 'planning contributions' or S106 or Community Infrastructure Levy) towards projects that would improve the quality of life for the community?

- Yes
- No

NE6 - What other mitigation measures (if any) would you consider appropriate?

NE7 Should the Parish Council establish a sustainable local program for the maintenance of the green spaces, wide verges, stone walls and paths within the village and into the surrounding countryside?

- Yes
- No leave this to individuals, ENC and NCC



CF1 How often have you used the Fun Field, Play Parks and the two Pocket Parks over the last year?

Mark only one per column.

How often?	Fun Field	Pocket Parks	Play Parks
Daily most weeks			
Maybe once a week			
A couple of times a month			
A couple of times a year			
Never			

CF2 What could be done to the Fun Field, Play Park and Pocket Park facilities that would encourage you to use them more?

CF3 What extra facilities would you like to see at the Fun Field, Play Parks and Pocket Parks?

CF4 Should the WNP be asking ENC to allocate money towards such facilities from future developer contributions?

The Fun Field has recently had a significant amount of developer contribution money spent on providing new changing rooms, development on the social club site should generate some S106 money for Open Space - ENC could be asked to put future developer contributions towards community facilities instead of towards infrastructure.

- Yes
- No

CF5 The Village Hall provides a common facility for all the community. Should the WNP promote improvements to it so that it can provide more facilities?

- Yes
- No

Any other comments about developer contributions towards Community Facilities?

CF6 Should the WNP try to ensure that valued community assets are given some protection from being closed and sold for a different use?

- Yes
- No



CF7 Which assets would you want protected and why?

TT1 Should WNP include policies to improve safe pedestrian and cycle access, including the provision of links to other footpaths and Public Rights of Way?

- Yes
- No

TT2 - Should the WNP encourage and promote sustainable transport modes such as walking and cycling by including enhancement of existing routes and encouraging investment in new routes?

- Yes
- No

TT3 Should the Parish Council work with relevant authorities, including Elton Parish Council, to seek planning obligations (money) in support of improvements to the A605 for all developments and works that increase traffic flows?

- Yes
- No

TT4 Should the Parish Council promote the designation of Taylors Green as a Quiet Lane?

- Yes
- No

TT5 Should the Parish Council seek to work with the relevant bodies to promote changes to the bus service, and if so what?

TT6 Should the Parish Council aspire to discourage parking on pavements

- Yes
- No

ED1 Should the WNP seek to support the use of the vacant sites and commercial properties on the Garage/Mill side of the A605 for new businesses if they enhance the area and provide benefit to the community?

- Yes
- No

ED2 Should the WNP encourage further businesses and services in the parish, and if so what?

- Yes
- No

ED3 Should the WNP promote a 'Destination Warmington' based on the parish heritage, the Nene Way, local wildlife, rambling etc., to encourage visitors that will benefit and potentially diversify the range of local businesses?

- Yes
- No